UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,143	08/02/2005	Jochen Dick	P04,0501	6780
<sup>26574</sup> SCHIFF HARI	7590 07/05/200° DIN: LLP	. EXAMINER		
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT		MURDOCH, CRYSTAL A	
6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/518,143	DICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Crystal Murdoch	2628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2004.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>						
Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Art Unit: 2628

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### I. Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

Therefore, at least the device must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be

Art Unit: 2628

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear by the language of claim 1 whether the 3D volume data are stored in the processor or on a data carrier.

Furthermore, claim 1 is interpreted as 3D volume data and software stored in a processor that is stored on a data carrier.

Examiner is unsure how this configuration is accomplished, since the architecture of a processor is different than that of a data carrier.

Claim 2 is similarly rejected in view of the interpretation of claim 1, wherein the 3D data is stored within the processor, and that the processor is stored on a data carrier.

Art Unit: 2628

# III. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

A. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unreal Tournament ("Unreal Tournament: Game of the Year Edition;" 1999; Epic Games; pages 1-41, herein referred to as Unreal Tournament.).

Regarding independent claim 1, Unreal Tournament is device for cross-platform and data-specific visualization of 3D data records by visualization software for display on a 2D monitor, a processor in which 3D volume data are stored together with visualization software on a data carrier, the data carrier being transmittable to a user for play back on any PC (See Unreal Tournament: page 2 teaches the necessary system requirements and how to install the visualization software from the CD. Since this is installed on a PC and cannot be played by a user without the visual display, the system is understood to include a monitor.). Though Unreal Tournament does not expressly disclose that the data stored on the CD is 3D volume data, it is a 3D game, which uses 3D video

Art Unit: 2628

devices for rendering the game world. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used 3D data in a 3D video game because it allows for real-time update of objects within a scene as the view point of the player changes, providing a superior representation of a 3D gaming environment.

Regarding claim 2, Unreal Tournament does not expressly disclose said processor also stores visualization parameters on the data carrier in an at least partially unchangeable fashion. However, Unreal Tournament does allow a user to change the viewing parameters (See Unreal Tournament: Page 15 under "Video," wherein the user can define the "look" of the game, which defines the visualization parameters.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use default parameters that do not require user modification initially so that a player could begin playing the game once it was installed. These default parameters would be the read-only visualization parameters stored on the installation disk.

Regarding claim 3, Unreal Tournament teaches the device as claimed in claim 2, wherein the data carrier is a CD (See Unreal Tournament: Page 2 "Game CD").

Art Unit: 2628

#### IV. Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hilbel, T. et al.; "Advantages of a Cardiac DICOM Network
 Server/Writer for Viewing and Permanent CD-R Archiving of
 Cardiovascular X-Ray Angiography Images;" 2000; IEEE
 Computers in Cardiology; Pages 649-652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal Murdoch whose telephone number is (571) 270-1043. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30a - 5:00 (off every other Fri.). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on (571) 272-7653. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CM/

Crystal Murdoch Examiner Art Unit 2628

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600